



पोलीस स्टेशन उमर
 क्रमांक/पत्रिका क्र 2325/17
 दिनांक 29/12/17
 19/12/17
 300 pm

DETAILED ANNUAL REPORT (DAR)
 MECHANICAL INSPECTION REPORT
 (Submit separate Mechanical Report for each vehicle)

H.T.V. / M.G.V. / L.G.V.

1	Case FIR No.	744/17
2	Under Section	279, 304 (OT), 134/177, 184
3	Police Station	Umred Police Station
4	Registration No. of the Vehicle	MH40D-9387 V ₂ MH35
5	Make, Model Name, Colour & Type of Vehicle	(motorcycle) 1610 (Truck)
6	In case of HTV, MG, V, LGV (a) Whether Lateral Under Run Protective Device (LUPD) & Rear Under Run Protective Device (RUPD) installed? (For vehicle weighing 3.5 ton or more) (b) Whether speed governor installed & functional or otherwise?	—
7	In case of commercial vehicle: a) Particulars of fitness b) Particulars of permit	—
8	Point of impact and damage -	—
9	Mechanical condition of the vehicle -	—
10	Paint marks (if any) -	—
11	Condition of braking System i.e. working or not?	—
12	Whether the vehicle fitted with Anti-lock Braking System (ABS)? a) If Yes, whether it is functioning or not? b) Whether trials regarding skid marks of ABS fitted vehicle have been carried out to estimate the speed of the vehicle	—
13	Whether vehicle modified by a) Installing CNG/LPG Kit b) Change of vehicle body	—
14	Condition of tyres-whether original or retreaded?	—
15	Whether horn was installed and functional?	—
16	Whether the brake lights & other lights functional?	—
17	Condition of safety bags in the vehicle	—

जाबक क्र 12402
 प्रादेशिक परिवहन कार्यालय
 नागपूर यागिण दिनांक 22/12/2017

18	Whether the vehicle properly maintained ?	—
19	Whether the vehicle and faulty number plate?	—
20	Whether the vehicle had tinted glasses?	—
21	If the vehicle was educational institution bus, whether the vehicle was fitted with the doors that can be shut and whether the vehicle had a suitable inscription to indicate that they are in the duty of an educational institute, as per the guidelines laid down in MC Mehta v. Union of India, (1998) 1 SCC 676 and MC Mehta v. Union of India (1999) 1 SCC 413 ?	—
22	Details of damage on the vehicle - Motor cycle not available at police station Umred. for inspection.	



Mechanical Officer
(Name & Stamp)
दवायक सुधारक पंडित
स. पो. का. नि. 13/12/17
सा. प्र. का. जावपुर (मि) 3.00 pm
SHO
(Name & Stamp)

Date :





96

DETAILED MOTOR VEHICLE REPORT (DAR)
 MECHANICAL INSPECTION REPORT
 (Submit separate Mechanical Report for each vehicle)

19/12/17
3:00 PM

H.T.V. / M.G.V. / L.G.V.

1	Case HR No	744/17
2	Under Section	279, 304(3A) - 124/177, 184
3	Police Station	Umred Police station.
4	Registration No. of the Vehicle	MH35-1610 V ₆ MH40D 9383 (10/cycle)
5	Make, Model Name, Colour & Type of Vehicle	Tata-Truck blue-brown-yellow
6	In case of H.T.V. / M.G.V. / L.G.V. (a) Whether Lateral Under Run Protective Device (LUPD) & Rear Under Run Protective Device (RUPD) installed? (For vehicle weighing 4.5 ton or more) (b) Whether speed governor installed & functional or otherwise?	} Not fitted. } NOT installed.
7	In case of commercial vehicle a) Particulars of fitness b) Particulars of permit	} NO ply certify.
8	Point of impact and damage	Not seen.
9	Mechanical condition of the vehicle	found in order
10	Paint marks (if any)	Not seen.
11	Condition of braking System i.e. working or not?	found in order
12	Whether the vehicle fitted with Anti-lock Braking System (ABS)? a) If Yes, whether it is functioning or not? b) Whether trials regarding skid marks of ABS fitted vehicle have been carried out to estimate the speed of the vehicle	} NO.
13	Whether vehicle modified by a) Installing CNG / LPG Kit b) Change of vehicle body	} NO.
14	Condition of tyres-whether original or retreaded?	original
15	Whether horn was installed and functional?	Yes.
16	Whether the brake lights & other lights functional?	Yes.
17	Condition of safety bags in the vehicle	Not applicable

जाबक नं. 12402
 प्रादेशिक परिवहन कार्यालय
 नागपूर याचिका दिनांक 22/12/2017

Truck
MH35-1640 Vs MH40D9383 m/cycle

18 Whether the vehicle properly maintained? Yes.

19 Whether the vehicle and faulty number plate? I o pl's verify.

20 Whether the vehicle had tinted glasses? NO.

21 If the vehicle was educational institution, whether the vehicle was fitted with the door that can be shut and whether the vehicle had a suitable inscription to indicate that they are in the duty of an educational institute as per the guidelines laid down in MC Mehta v Union of India (1998) 1 SCC 676 and MC Mehta v Union of India (1999) 1 SCC 413? NO.

22 Details of damage on the vehicle

- Damage not seen -

Opposite m.v. (motorcycle) MH40D-9383

Not seen at police station (available)

Visited for inspection

]

Mechanical Officer
(Name & Stamp)
दत्तात्रय सुधाकर पांडवकर
म.पा.का.दि. 19/12/17
म.पा.का.दि. 13.00pm

Date